UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/586,583	07/19/2006	Masahiro Shimono	062783	7385
38834 7590 07/22/2008 WESTERMAN, HATTORI, DANIELS & ADRIAN, LLP 1250 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, NW SUITE 700			EXAMINER	
			ADDIE, RAYMOND W	
WASHINGTON, DC 20036			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3671	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/22/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/586,583	SHIMONO ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Raymond W. Addie	3671	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	l. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 Jul This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-4 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-4 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 7/19/2006 is/are: a) ☐ a Applicant may not request that any objection to the oregin is a possible to the second	r election requirement. r. accepted or b)∐ objected to by t		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti		, ,	
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Oπice	Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies 	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been receive I (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/19/2006.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	te	

Application/Control Number: 10/586,583 Page 2

Art Unit: 3671

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made. Claims 1, 2, 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP 2001-159189 (Kaneso) in view of JP 9-184104. Kaneso discloses a water collecting structure (1) comprising: A guide member (12), Fig. 5, including a water channeling surface (13b) inclined at a greater angle than that of a water-flow slope of a water-flow surface (7). A plurality of flow straightening plates (2) extended along a flow direction of the guide member (12) and juxtaposed in a direction orthogonal to the flow direction. See Para [0006, 0008, 0017]; Fig. 3. Wherein the upper surface of the flow straightening plate is flush with a water-flow surface. What Kaneso does not disclose is the use of curved lateral sides. However, JP '104 teaches it is known to dispose drainage assemblies adjacent roads and the like, as illustrated in Fig. 5, 6. As well as to provide curved lateral sides (72, 73) to provide flow straightening plates (7) with curved lateral sides in order to maximize the volumetric throughput of the water collecting structure. See Para. [0008]; Figs. 3, 4, 7. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made to provide the flow straightening plates of Kaneso, with curved surfaces, in order to prevent debris from accumulating in the drainage structure.

Application/Control Number: 10/586,583 Page 3

Art Unit: 3671

2. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP 2001-159189 (Kaneso) in view of JP 9-184104 as applied to claims 1, 2 above above, and further in view of JP 10-43831 (Kyoei).

Kaneso in view of JP '104 discloses essentially all that is claimed, except for the use of a nonskid surface. However, Kyoei teaches it is known to provide shaped steel structures with anti-skid surfaces to prevent improve traction. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made to provide the drainage grate of Kaneso in view of JP '104 with an antislip surface, as taught by Kyoei, in order to increase safety. See Kyoei Para. [0002]; Fig. 1.

Conclusion

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Raymond W. Addie whose telephone number is 571 272-6986. The examiner can normally be reached on 7am-3:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas B. Will can be reached on 571 272-6998. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Application/Control Number: 10/586,583 Page 4

Art Unit: 3671

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov.

Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the

Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like

assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the

automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-

1000.

/Raymond W. Addie/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3671

7/16/2008